

Assignment 2

1. Set the sample size $n \leftarrow 1000$ and the number of variables $k \leftarrow 3$. In R, you can simulate a normal random matrix using the command, $x \leftarrow \text{matrix}(\text{rnorm}(n*k), n)$. Verify that the resulting matrix has (approximately) a mean of zero and a variance matrix equal to the identity using the commands $\text{colMeans}(x)$ and $\text{var}(x)$. Next, use the Cholesky decomposition

to simulate a $N(\mu, \Omega)$ random variable where $\mu = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ 0.5 \end{bmatrix}$ and $\Omega = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0.5 & 0.1 \\ 0.5 & 2 & 0.3 \\ 0.1 & 0.3 & 0.5 \end{bmatrix}$ (hint: recall

that if $x \sim N(0, I)$, then $\mu + \Omega^{1/2}x \sim N(\mu, \Omega)$ and that the Cholesky decomposition can be computed using $\text{chol}(\text{omega})$). Call the new matrix $x2$ (the dimensions can be made to work out correctly if you use $x2 \leftarrow \text{as.matrix}(\text{rep}(1, n)) \%*\% \text{t}(\text{as.matrix}(\mu)) + x \%*\% \text{chol}(\text{omega})$). Remember that in order to perform matrix multiplication in R, you should use $\%*\%$ as $*$ will perform component by component multiplication. Check that this worked using the commands $\text{colMeans}(x2)$ and $\text{var}(x2)$. Verify that Ω is indeed positive definite by computing the eigenvalues of omega using the command $\text{eigen}(\text{omega})$.

Now, suppose that you were using this to simulate data from a linear regression model. Add a constant term to the matrix $x3$ using the code,

```

k3 <- k + 1
x3 <- matrix(rep(0,n*k3),n)
x3[1:n,1] <- 1
x3[1:n,2:k3] <- x2[1:n,1:k]

```

Generate the errors vector eps from the $N(0, 2.8^2)$ distribution (setting $\text{sigma0} <- 2.8$).

Assume that $\beta_0 = (0.5, -2, 1, 0.7)$. Generate data from a linear regression model with x3 and the data matrix and eps as the error term. Run a linear regression on this data using,

```

lm1 <- lm(y~x3)
summary(lm1)

```

What value of $\hat{\beta}$ do you find and how does this compare to β_0 ? Is $\hat{\beta}$ very close to β_0 , and if so, why? Verify that you get the same answer using $\text{betahat} <- \text{solve}(t(\text{x3}) \%*\% \text{x3}) \%*\% t(\text{x3}) \%*\% y$.

Now, we will imbed this code in a loop to perform a Monte Carlo simulation. Set the number of replications as $\text{s} <- 1000$. Imbed the code you previous wrote in a loop,

```

betahatsim <- matrix(rep(0,S*k3),S)
sigmahatsqrsim <- rep(0,S)
tstat3sim <- rep(0,S)
zstat3sim <- rep(0,S)
for(s in 1:S){
  # code here
}

```

(of course, you may put code like $\text{n} <- 1000$ before the loop). The top lines will allow you to collect the simulations. You can simulate the main components of the model using,

```

y <- x3 \%*\% beta0 + sigma0 * eps
betahat <- solve(t(x3) \%*\% x3) \%*\% t(x3) \%*\% y
betahatsim[s,] <- betahat

epshat <- y - x3 \%*\% betahat
sigmahatsqr <- sum(epshat^2) / (n - k3)
sigmahatsqrsim[s] <- sigmahatsqr

varbetahat <- sigmahatsqr * solve(t(x3) \%*\% x3)
beta3se <- varbetahat[3,3]^0.5
tstat3sim[s] <- (betahat[3] - beta0[3]) / beta3se

robustmeat <- matrix(rep(0,16),4)

```

```

for(i in 1:n) {
  robustmeat <- robustmeat + epshat[i]^2*x3[i,]%*%t(x3[i,])
}
robustmeat <- robustmeat / n
robustbread <- solve(t(x3) %*% x3 / n)
varbetahatrobust <- robustbread %*% robustmeat %*% robustbread / n
beta3serobust <- varbetahatrobust[3,3]^0.5
zstat3sim[s] <- (betahat[3] - beta0[3]) / beta3serobust

```

inside the loop. Notice that $\hat{\beta}$ is computed as $(X'X)^{-1}X'y$, $\hat{\sigma}_\varepsilon^2$ is computed as $\frac{1}{N-K} \hat{\varepsilon}'\hat{\varepsilon}$ where

$\hat{\varepsilon} = y - X\hat{\beta}$, the variance of $\hat{\beta}$ is estimated to be $\hat{\sigma}_\varepsilon^2(X'X)^{-1}$, the sandwich estimator of the

variance is computed as $\left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N x_n x_n'\right)^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N x_n x_n' \hat{\varepsilon}_n^2\right) \left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N x_n x_n'\right)^{-1}$, a t-statistic for the test that

$\beta_{03} = 1$ is computed based on the classical standard error, and a z-statistic for the test that $\beta_{03} = 1$

is computed based on the robust standard error. You can then evaluate the performance using,

```

# OLS Unbiased / Consistent (LLN)
colMeans(betahatsim)
beta0

mean(sigmahatsqrsim)
sigma0^2

# tstat
mean(abs(tstat3sim) > qt(0.975,n-k3))

# zstat w/ robust standard errors (CLT)
mean(abs(zstat3sim) > qnorm(0.975))

```

Simulate the model with $n <- 10$, $n <- 100$, and $n <- 1000$. Repeat the same exercise

with the following heteroskedastic error terms,

```

eps <- rep(0,n)
for(i in 1:n) {
  eps[i] <- rnorm(1) * x3[i,3]^2
}

```

For both cases, and for all three sample sizes, report whether OLS appears to be unbiased and consistent with reference to the simulations. Report whether the t-test and the z-test appear to have the correct size. Include your r code and output in your homework assignment.

Which assumptions from (A1)-(A7) and (B1)-(B8) does the data you generate satisfy?

How do the results of the simulations compare to properties we derived for the OLS estimator?

2. Present a heuristic proof of each of the following. Indicate the set of assumptions you are using (and assume that the X 's are stochastic).

(a) OLS is unbiased.

(b) OLS is consistent.

(c) OLS is asymptotically normal with mean β_0 and variance covariance matrix

$$V = E[x_n x_n']^{-1} \text{Var}(x_n \varepsilon_n) E[x_n x_n']^{-1}.$$